Chat during CLOSING session

Claude Fischer 12:13 PM
Area Leader & final session: resume at 13h30

fu vej 1:38 PM
Comment: Thanks Daan, for mentioning the code efficiency issues which have been on the Agenda in e.g. ESCAPE projects at ECMWF. I hope ACCORD will prioritize this.

Bent

Dmitrii Mironov 1:47 PM
Daan, many people express deep concern about the debugging of DSL-based codes, some people maintain it is notoriously difficult. Would you see some danger here (difficult debugging, readability of the code gets lost, etc.)?

Harold Petithomme 1:48 PM
This is a clear risk, actually.

Maria Derkova 1:50 PM
You have not mentioned CSC physics compatibility. Is it planned to tackle only dynamics in the Transversal Area?

Dmitrii Mironov 1:54 PM
Piet & Daan, I guess you can contact our Swiss colleagues (great proponents of DSL and the like) and Michael Baldauf (DWD) and Zbigniew Piotrowski (currently in Julich) (who can share their "difficult" experience in dealing with DSL-based codes).

Daan Degrauwe 1:54 PM
Ok, thanks Dmitrii

Harold Petithomme 1:55 PM
Thanks for experience feedback, Dimitri.
Colm Clancy 2:05 PM

On the SETTLS+VESL+LGWADV instability, this may be a bug. Petra suggested a code change which seems to fix it

Isabel Monteiro (IPMA) 2:30 PM

@Roger: Good to know, very interested in know about this new group😀

Roger Randriamampianina 2:31 PM

@Isabel, we will learn a lot together I believe

Carlos Geijo 2:32 PM

@Roger, VC devs should be mentioned just under DA5 bullet. The others are taken from two or three years old RWP. Good try Roger! Thanks.

Marquet Pascal 2:35 PM

in fact, ZDEC is not in IFS. Peter Bechtold agree with me after the talk, after email exchanges yesterday...

so setting ZDEC= 0 is fine!

Marquet Pascal 2:38 PM

we must consider the replacement of 2/3 by 7/6 is not an option, it is a debug mode, we have no choice

Roger Randriamampianina 2:39 PM

@Carlos: Thanks, In fact I took it as contributor to DA2.3. Interaction/synergy between the WPs is good to have anyway.

Carlos Geijo 2:42 PM

Whatever contribution to whatever package is welcome! It can also fit in use of radar data DA4.

martina tudor 2:52 PM

Thank you Pascal
Dmitrii Mironov 2:54 PM

Eric, Jeanette, Martina, which time prospect are you talking about? 2, 5, 10 years, or...?

Marquet Pascal 2:54 PM

OK Martina. May be you might modified the synthetic slide?

Dmitrii Mironov 2:55 PM

Sorry, one more questions. You did not mention coupled atm-waves-ocean modelling? Any concrete plans along this line?

Claude, see my other question above.

Martina Tudor 2:57 PM

OK, Pascal I will modify before sending to Patricia

Marquet Pascal 2:58 PM

thanks

Dmitrii Mironov 3:01 PM

Thanks for making things more clear for me. DM

Roger Randriamampianina 3:13 PM

comment

Martina Tudor 3:27 PM

no questions from MG :)

Karl-Ivar Ivarsson, SMHI 3:51 PM

Perhaps include case studies

Dmitrii Mironov 3:52 PM
Karl, thanks a lot for excellent presentation. Continuous diagnostics of what our models ACTUALLY doing is what we all need. I would vry much welcome inter-consortia experience exchange on this issue.

**Michal Nestiak** 3:53 PM

Andrew and Alex are very helpful in each HARP questions. Many thanks.

**Morten Køltzow** 3:55 PM

Is there any ongoing activity on including the impact of representativeness error?

**Dmitrii Mironov** 3:56 PM

Thanks, we will think of it.

**Balazs Szintai** 3:56 PM

@Dmitrii: very much support that from the C-SRNBWP perspective. Might be suggested to C-SRNWP ET Verif

**Dmitrii Mironov** 3:57 PM

Balazs, thanks for the suggestion. Good idea. Let's discuss it at the next SRNWP meeting.

**Alan Hally Met Éireann** 3:57 PM

"Observations" from social media could also be a nice way to verify our model performance, especially with respect to high-impact/warning level events

**forteliu** 4:03 PM


**Alan Hally Met Éireann** 4:04 PM

👍 thanks very much Carl

**rontu** 4:07 PM

Carl, the (diagnostic) tools for the developers and the everyday verification tools are somewhat separate categories and deserve treatment, development and application of their own. Until now,
developers quite often rush to application of the everyday verification tools, omitting the systematic diagnostics at the development level. Perhaps a dedicated developers' session, webinar or something could be arranged in connection of possible ACCORD MQA events.

**forteliu** 4:11 PM
@Laura: Thanks that could be very fruitful, indeed.

**forteliu** 4:19 PM
@Michal: I agree with you totally thank you.

**martina tudor** 4:23 PM
Olda can you join too?

**Piet Termonia** 4:23 PM
We should discuss about LUMI in MG with Daniel

**Kasper Hintz** 4:25 PM
Any plans to make training with Davai?

**Patrick Samuelson, SMHI** 4:31 PM
Again Patricia amazing organisation!! Thank you!!

**rontu** 4:33 PM
Thank you Patricia, indeed impressive organisation, and all the material will stay for further use!

**Daniel Santos Muñoz** 4:34 PM
Thanks Patricia. Incredible work as always.

**Eric Bazile** 4:34 PM
probably more side meetings !!!

**martina tudor** 4:34 PM
merci Patricia

**Gabriella Szepszo** 4:35 PM
Merci beaucoup Patricia! Deja vu with Ljubljana announcement.

**Benedikt Strajnar** 4:36 PM

Thanks!

**Alan Hally Met Éireann** 4:36 PM

Merci beaucoup Patricia!

**Roger Randriamampianina** 4:36 PM

Well done indeed!

**Maria Derkova** 4:36 PM

DAKUJEME, Patricia!

**martina tudor** 4:36 PM

hvala

**Roger Randriamampianina** 4:37 PM

misaotra betsaka :)

**Emily Gleeson (Met Éireann)** 4:37 PM

Go raibh mile maith agat a Patricia:

**martina tudor** 4:37 PM

☕

**Mariken Homleid** 4:37 PM

TAKK!

**Dmitrii Mironov** 4:38 PM

Vielen Dank! Спасибо! Particular thanks for inviting a COSMO representative to your meeting!
Muito obrigada Patricia!

Thank you!

Adios!

Thanks a lot to organizers, presenters and everybody! Bye-bye!

thank you, bye

Thank you, bye!

Dziękujemy! See you!

Thank you!

Merci, Patricia!